L 762-95

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

1894-95

File No.

191 .

Serial Nos. 1—2

(Munpl.)

*Later references.*

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 1 - 2

**Part B for**

SUBJECT.

Removal of a Makkan near Old Bhavi at Arasekere.

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

L. 7. 62-95

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894 6625-

Ly 2561

From The Deputy Commissioner
Section ~~Hassan~~
File No ~~46-94~~ Hassan District
Serial No ~~1~~
To Chief

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 16th
Despatched 20th } November 1894.
Received

Enclosures—No. ~~one~~

General No. 355 of 1894.
No. Secy

Under the circumstances represented requests to obtain early Orders of Government to remove a Mahkan built near the Dolly Bhavi at Arsikere &c.

Govt Dept

~~Order~~

~~The recommendation of the Dep. Com. that the proposed~~ order.

The order of the permanent Dep. Com. Mr. Purnaiya dated 16th July 1889 prohibiting the Construction of the Mahkan near Dolly Bhavi at Arsikere and directing its removal to another place pointed out by him, should not have been Cancelled by the Asst Dep. Com. Mr Syad Nasrulla in 1892, without the previous express Sanction of the Govt

2) Mr. Syad Nasrulla's order of 1892 is accordingly Cancelled & Mr Purnaiya's order of 1889 is restored. (Contd on last page)

To LRB. for reference called for in last para
27/11

Subd to Chief Secy for orders—
4/12/94

Office
I have removed the draft put up by office as we do not make Govt orders. The Govt will pass [illegible]

Dated, Channarayapatna, 15/20 Nov/94

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in the months of February 1889, when I visited Channarayapatna, I found that a Makkan was being built in the South East Corner of Colle Bhavi where there are a few tombs and ordered the Amildar to stop the Construction pending disposal of the question after due enquiry.

The Amildar reported that on account of opening Fathya Fqa. the Musalmans of the Station pray that the building may be allowed to be completed for the dwelling of a Fakir therein, for the above purpose. He was ordered to have the building removed

and

and shifted to another place pointed (V)
out by me; as the situation of a Mak
-kan there would hurt seriously the
religious feelings of the Hindu Com
-munity, as it interferes with the
annual procession of Sri Venkata Ra
-manaswami of Tirupati temple on
the banks of this pond very close to the
place where the Fakir was attempt
-ing to build.

In the year 1892, the Officiating
Deputy Commissioner, M/s Late Syed
Nusrundeen Sahib, on the Complaint
of the Fakir, after personally inspecting
the same, ordered that it is not a Musjid
but that it is the premises of a Fakir,
and that there is no objection to it
being built and permitted the con-
struction of the building - assigning
some more land to the Fakir &
a wall raised around.

When I visited Arsikere lately, I found that a thatched hut put up, and a fence put around leaving only a pathway of about a yard towards the south-eastern corner of the Colli Bhavi for men & pass- Besides this, a Fakir is stated to reside there permanently allowing at the same time other Mussalman travellers to stop with him, and thus the premises has actually become a halting place of these men-

In conclusion, I beg to state that in the interests of both the community as well as that of Colli Bhavi itself, it is not desirable to allow the Makkam stand there any longer, and I, therefore, request you will be good enough to obtain early orders of Government to remove the same from the place in question. No walls are

are not put up the Fakir has no right whatsoever to the place. The Municipal Board has resolved against the existence of the building here - I fear one day or other, this will cause a serious breach of the peace. It is, therefore advisable to nip it in the bud.

Under these circumstances, I solicit my predecessor's permission may be cancelled.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
M Murray
Dy Deputy Commissioner,
Asst Assistant.

3) for reasons given
in the Dep Com's letter
above, the Govt sanctions
the removal of the
Makkum to
a suitable site
to be with due regard
to the convenience
of all parties concerned.

13/6

Print.

to Dep Com Hanson
— Munzavi

Section Judicial
No. 46 - 96
Serial No 2355 Dated 15th November 1896.

Read. —

the following letter no.
from the Deputy Commissioner
of the Hassan District to
the Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore &.

(Print the letter in extenso)

Order no 23825-6 Dated 18-6-95
6257

The order of the permanent
Deputy Commissioner Mr.
Puncaiya passed in February
1889 prohibiting the
construction of the Makkan
near Ooldi Swami at —
Ansikere and directing
its removal to another
place pointed by him
(Removed.

should not have been
Cancelled by the Off.g
Deputy Commissioner
for Syed Nasrundin
in 1892, without the
previous express sanction
of the Government.

2) The Syed Nasrundin's
order of 1892 is accordingly
Cancelled and Mr.
Prinsep's order of 1889
is restored

3) For the reasons given in
the Deputy Commissioner's
letter read above, the
Government are pleased to sanction
the removal of the Makkan
to a suitable site with
due regard to the Con-
venience of all parties

Concerned.

Imm
18/6/95
Orders
Encl. Letter
Vouchers.

To
The Deputy Commissioner
of the Hassan Dist.
at Manjarai Lupatti

READ :—

The following letter No. 355, dated 15th November 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District —

I have the honor to state that in the month of February 1889, when I visited Arsikere, I found that a Makân was being built in the south-east corner of Oolli Bhavi, where there are a few tombs, and ordered the Amildar to stop the construction pending disposal of the question after due enquiry.

The Amildar reported that on account of offering fathya, &c., the Musalmans of the station pray that the building may be allowed to be completed for the dwelling of a Fakir therein for the above purpose. He was ordered to have the building removed and shifted to another place pointed out by me, as the situation of a Makân there would hurt seriously the religious feelings of the Hindu community, as it interferes with the annual procession of Sri Venkataramanaswami of Tirupati temple on the banks of this pond very close to the place where the Fakir was attempting to build.

In the year 1892, the Officiating Deputy Commissioner Mr late Syed Nasirudin Sahib, on the complaint of the Fakir, after personally inspecting the same, ordered that it is not a Masjid but that it is the premises of a Fakir, and that there is no objection to its being built, and permitted the construction of the building assigning some more land to the Fakir to have a wall raised around.

When I visited Arsikere lately, I found that a thatched hut put up, and a fence put around leaving only a pathway of about a yard towards the south-eastern corner of the Oolli Bhavi for men to pass. Besides this, a Fakir is stated to reside there permanently allowing at the same time other Musalman travellers to stop with him, and thus the premises has actually become a halting place of these men.

In conclusion, I beg to state that, in the interests of both the community as well as that of Oolli Bhavi itself, it is not desirable to allow the Makân to stand there any longer, and I, therefore, request you will be good enough to obtain early orders of Government to remove the same from the place in question. No walls are yet put up, the Fakir has no right whatsoever to the place. The Municipal Board has resolved against the existence of the building here. I fear one day or other this will cause a serious breach of the peace. It is, therefore, advisable to nip it in the bud.

Under these circumstances, I solicit my predecessor's permission may be cancelled

No. 23825-6—G. 6157, DATED, BANGALORE, 18TH JUNE 1895.

ORDER THEREON.—The order of the permanent Deputy Commissioner Mr. Purnaiya passed in February 1889 prohibiting the construction of the Makan near Oolli Bhavi at Arsikere and directing its removal to another place pointed out by him should not have been cancelled by the Officiating Deputy Commissioner Mr. Syed Nasirudin in 1892 without the previous express sanction of the Government.

2. Mr. Syed Nasirudin's order of 1892 is accordingly cancelled and Mr. Purnaiya's order of 1889 restored.

3. For the reasons given in the Deputy Commissioner's letter read above, the Government are pleased to sanction the removal of the Makân to a suitable site with due regard to the convenience of all parties concerned.

V. N. NARASIMMIYENGAR,
*General Secretary.*

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District
The Muzrai Superintendent.

1894-95

# GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

GENERAL

PROCEEDINGS

*Dated 18th June* 1895

No. $\frac{23825\ 6}{G.\ 6157}$

Sanctioning, for reasons stated, the removal of a Makân at Arsikere to a suitable site with due regard to the convenience of all parties concerned.

M.G.C.P.B.—29-6 95—120